arXiv:0803.2376v3 [math.DG] 14 May 2008

Dirac generating operators and Manin triples

Zhuo Chen
Peking University, Department of Mathematics and LMAM
Beijing 100871, China
`chenzhuott@gmail.com`

Mathieu Stiénon
Pennsylvania State University, Department of Mathematics
109 McAllister Building, University Park, PA 16802, U.S.A.
`stienon@math.psu.edu`

Abstract

Given a pair of (real or complex) Lie algebroid structures on a vector bundle A (over M) and its dual A^*, and a line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ such that $\mathscr{L}\otimes\mathscr{L} = (\wedge^{\mathrm{top}}A^*\otimes\wedge^{\mathrm{top}}T^*M)$, there exist two canonically defined differential operators $\breve{d}_*$ and $\breve{\partial}$ on $\Gamma(\wedge A\otimes\mathscr{L})$. We prove that the pair (A, A^*) constitutes a Lie bialgebroid if, and only if, the square of $\breve{D} = \breve{d}_* + \breve{\partial}$ is the multiplication by a function on M. As a consequence, we obtain that the pair (A, A^*) is a Lie bialgebroid if, and only if, $\breve{D}$ is a Dirac generating operator as defined by Alekseev & Xu [1]. Our approach is to establish a list of new identities relating the Lie algebroid structures on A and A^* (Theorem 3.4).

Contents

1 Introduction 2

2 Preliminaries 4
2.1 Lie bialgebroids and Courant algebroids 4
2.2 Dirac generating operators 6

3 Statement of the main theorem 7

4 Technicalities 12
4.1 Some ubiquitous lemmata 13
4.2 Key relations 14

5 Proof of the main theorem 18

6 Examples 22
6.1 Exact Lie bialgebroids 22
6.2 Poisson Nijenhuis Lie algebroids 23
6.3 $a + b$ Lie bialgebras 25

1 Introduction

A Lie bialgebroid, as introduced by Mackenzie & Xu, is a pair of Lie algebroids (A, A^*) satisfying some compatibility condition [16, 30]. They appear naturally in many places in Poisson geometry. In [32], Roytenberg proved that the Lie bialgebroid compatibility condition is equivalent to the equation $\{H, H\} = 0$, where H is a certain Hamitonian function on the super-symplectic manifold $T^*A[1]$. The main purpose of this paper is to prove a quantum analogue of this condition.

More precisely, consider a pair of (real or complex) Lie algebroid structures on a vector bundle A and its dual A^*. Assume that the line bundle (real or complex) $\mathscr{L} = (\wedge^{top} A^* \otimes \wedge^{top} T^*M)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ exists. Then $\mathscr{L}$ is a module over A^*, as discovered by Evens, Lu & Weinstein [10], and the Lie algebroid structures of A^* and A induce two natural differential operators $\breve{d}_* : \Gamma(\wedge^k A \otimes \mathscr{L}) \to \Gamma(\wedge^{k+1} A \otimes \mathscr{L})$ and $\breve{\partial} : \Gamma(\wedge^k A \otimes \mathscr{L}) \to \Gamma(\wedge^{k-1} A \otimes \mathscr{L})$ (see Equations (9) to (15)). Let $\breve{D}$ be the sum $\breve{d}_* + \breve{\partial}$.

Our main theorem can be summarized as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *The pair (A, A^*) is a Lie bialgebroid over M if, and only if, the operator $\breve{D}^2$ is the multiplication by a function $\breve{f} \in C^\infty(M)$.*

Since the problem is local in nature, we may assume the existence of a volume form s on M and a pair of nowhere vanishing top degree forms $\Omega \in \Gamma(\wedge^{top} A^*)$ and $V \in \Gamma(\wedge^{top} A)$ dual to each other. Hence one can consider the modular cocycles ξ_0 and X_0 associated to the Lie algebroids A and A^* respectively [10].

A simple computation shows that, for all $u \in \Gamma(\wedge A)$,

$$\breve{D}^2 u = \big(\tfrac{1}{2}(L_{X_0} + L_{\xi_0}) - \Delta\big)u + \tfrac{1}{2}\big(\tfrac{1}{2}\langle \xi_0, X_0\rangle - \partial X_0\big)u,$$

where ∂ is the BV-operator of the Lie algebroid A [36] Ω-dual to the Lie algebroid differential d (see (22)) and Δ is the "Laplacian" $d_*\partial + \partial d_*$. Therefore, the problem reduces to prove the following

Theorem 1.2. *For a pair of Lie algebroids (A, A^*), $\Delta = \frac{1}{2}(L_{X_0} + L_{\xi_0})$ if, and only if, (A, A^*) is a Lie bialgebroid.*

Note that the Laplacian Δ has already appeared in a variety of contexts. For example, the Laplacian of the Lie bialgebroid $TM \oplus (T^*M)_\pi$ associated to a Poisson manifold (M, π) was fruitfully exploited by Evens & Lu to compute the cohomology of flag varieties [9].

Part of the motivation behind this work is to better understand the Dirac generating operators of the Courant algebroids associated to Manin triples.

Courant algebroids were introduced in [27] as a way to merge the concept of Lie bialgebra and the bracket on $\mathfrak{X}(M) \oplus \Omega^1(M)$ — here M is a smooth manifold — first discovered by Courant [7]. Roytenberg gave an equivalent definition phrased in terms of the Dorfman bracket [32], which highlighted the relation of Courant algebroids to L_∞-algebras as was observed by Roytenberg & Weinstein [34].

Kosmann-Schwarzbach's derived brackets [15] provide another way of thinking of Courant algebroids. Roytenberg translated them in symplectic supermanifold language to study Courant algebroids [32, 33]. They also motivated the following construction [1].

Let $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ be a vector bundle endowed with a non degenerate pseudo-metric $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ on its fibers, and let $\mathcal{C}(E) \to M$ be the associated bundle of Clifford algebras. Assume there exists a bundle of Clifford modules $S \to M$, i.e. a vector bundle whose fiber is the Clifford module of the fiber of $\mathcal{C}(E)$. The natural $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading of $\Gamma(\mathcal{C}(E))$ induces a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading on the operators on S. The multiplication by a function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ is an even operator while the Clifford action of a section $e \in \Gamma(E)$ is odd. *A Dirac generating operator*, according to Alekseev & Xu [1], is an odd operator D on $\Gamma(S)$ satisfying the following properties:

- For all $f \in C^\infty(M)$, the operator $[D, f]$ is the Clifford action of some section of E.
- For all $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E)$, the operator $[[D, e_1], e_2]$ is the Clifford action of some section of E.
- The square of D is the multiplication by some function on M.

If D is a generating operator, then the derived bracket $e_1 \circ e_2 = [[D, e_1], e_2]$ on $\Gamma(E)$ — here $[\cdot,\cdot]$ stands for the graded commutator on the space of graded operators on $\Gamma(S)$ — together with the bundle map $\rho : E \to TM$ given by $\rho(e)f = 2\langle [D, f], e\rangle$ endow $(E, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ with a Courant algebroid structure.

In [1], Alekseev & Xu have proposed a construction of Dirac generating operators valid for arbitrary Courant algebroids using Courant algebroid connections. When $E = A \oplus A^*$, Kosmann-Schwarzbach considered the so called *deriving operators*, which generate the Courant algebroid in the above sense, without requiring that the square of D be the multiplication by some function [19]. In particular, for a Lie bialgebroid, she proved by a direct argument that $\breve{D} = \breve{d}_* + \breve{\partial}$ (in a slightly different form) is a deriving operator. Combining Kosmann-Schwarzbach's result with Theorem 1.1, we immediately obtain the following

Theorem 1.3. *Given a pair of Lie algebroids (A, A^*), it is a Lie bialgebroid if, and only if, the operator $\breve{D} = \breve{d}_* + \breve{\partial}$ is a Dirac generating operator for the vector bundle $A \oplus A^*$ with the inner product* (7).

Although the sufficient condition is just the particularization of Alekseev & Xu's result to the case of Lie bialgebroids, the necessary condition is new. Our starting point is completely different from that in [1]. Alekseev & Xu proved the local existence of Dirac generating operators for general Courant algebroids. By applying it to the case of Manin triples of Lie bialgebroids, they proved the sufficient condition in Theorem 1.3. Then they derived that $\breve{D}^2$ is a function as a consequence. On the other hand, our approach is, in a certain sense, to take the opposite route. We prove Theorem 1.1 by reducing it to Theorem 1.2, which can be verified by a direct argument. Then Theorem 1.3 follows immediately. Indeed the heart of our approach consists in establishing a list of new identities (see Theorem 3.4), which we believe deserve attention in their own right.

Note that the derived brackets of the Courant algebroid $TM \oplus T^*M$ have played an important role in the rapid development of the generalized complex geometry of Hitchin and Gualtieri [13,14], where many remarkable results have been established. We hope our result will be of some use in this subject.

The paper is organized as follows.

Section 1 gives a succinct account of standard facts about Courant algebroids, Lie bialgebroids and Dirac generating operators, whose purpose is to fix the notations.

The differential operator $\breve{D}$ is defined in Section 2 and the main theorems are then stated without proofs.

Section 3 establishes a list of important identities valid in any pair of Lie algebroids (A, A^*), which are subsequently used in Section 4 to prove the statements of Section 2.

Our results are then particularized to a few concrete situations in Section 5. Namely, we discuss the cases of exact Lie bialgebroids, Poisson Nijenhuis Lie algebroids and finally $a+b$ Lie bialgebras.

Acknowledgments The present work was completed while Zhuo Chen was visiting the mathematics department at Penn State with support from its Shapiro fund. Mathieu Stiénon is grateful to Peking University for its hospitality. The authors thank Yvette Kosmannn-Schwarzbach, Jim Stasheff, and Ping Xu for useful discussions and comments.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Lie bialgebroids and Courant algebroids

A Lie algebroid consists of a vector bundle $A \to M$, a bundle map $a : A \to TM$ called anchor and a Lie algebra bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]$ on the space of sections $\Gamma(A)$ such that a induces a Lie algebra homomorphism from $\Gamma(A)$ to $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ and the Leibniz rule

$$[X, fY] = \big(a(X)f\big)Y + f[X, Y]$$

is satisfied for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$.

It is well-known [36] that a Lie algebroid $(A, [\cdot,\cdot], a)$ gives rise to a Gerstenhaber algebra $(\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A), \wedge, [\cdot,\cdot])$, and a degree 1 derivation d of the graded commutative algebra $(\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^*), \wedge)$ such that $d^2 = 0$. Here the (Lie algebroid) differential d is given by

$$\begin{aligned}(d\alpha)(X_0, X_1, \cdots, X_n) = &\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^i a(X_i)\alpha(X_0, \cdots, \widehat{X_i}, \cdots, X_n)\\ &+ \sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j}\alpha([X_i, X_j], X_0, \cdots, \widehat{X_i}, \cdots, \widehat{X_j}, \cdots, X_n).\end{aligned}$$

To each $X \in \Gamma(A)$ is associated a degree -1 derivation ι_X of the graded commutative algebra $(\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^*), \wedge)$, given by

$$(\iota_X\alpha)(X_1, \cdots, X_n) = \alpha(X, X_1, \cdots, X_n).$$

The Lie derivative L_X in the direction of a section $X \in \Gamma(A)$ is a degree 0 derivation of the graded commutative algebra $(\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^*), \wedge)$ defined by the relation $L_X = \iota_X d + d\iota_X$. The same symbol L_X is also used to denote the derivation of the Gerstenhaber algebra $(\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A), \wedge, [\cdot,\cdot])$ induced by the Lie algebroid bracket: $L_X Y = [X, Y]$ for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$.

A Courant algebroid consists of a vector bundle $\pi : E \to M$, a non degenerate pseudo-metric $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ on the fibers of π, a bundle map $\rho : E \to TM$ called anchor and a $\mathbb{R}$-bilinear operation $\circ$ on $\Gamma(E)$ called Dorfman bracket, which, for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $x, y, z \in \Gamma(E)$ satisfy the relations

$$x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ z + y \circ (x \circ z); \tag{1}$$

$$\rho(x \circ y) = [\rho(x), \rho(y)]; \tag{2}$$

$$x \circ fy = \big(\rho(x)f\big)y + f(x \circ y); \tag{3}$$

$$x \circ y + y \circ x = 2\mathcal{D}\langle x, y\rangle; \tag{4}$$

$$\mathcal{D}f \circ x = 0; \tag{5}$$

$$\rho(x)\langle y, z\rangle = \langle x \circ y, z\rangle + \langle y, x \circ z\rangle, \tag{6}$$

where $\mathcal{D} : C^\infty(M) \to \Gamma(E)$ is the $\mathbb{R}$-linear map defined by $\langle \mathcal{D}f, x\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\rho(x)f$.

The symmetric part of the Dorfman bracket is given by (4). The Courant bracket is defined as the skew-symmetric part $[\![x, y]\!] = \frac{1}{2}(x \circ y - y \circ x)$ of the Dorfman bracket. Thus we have the relation $x \circ y = [\![x, y]\!] + \mathcal{D}\langle x, y\rangle$.

The definition of a Courant algebroid can be rephrased using the Courant bracket instead of the Dorfman bracket [32].

A Dirac structure is a smooth subbundle $A \to M$ of the Courant algebroid E, which is maximal isotropic with respect to the pseudo-metric and whose space of sections is closed under (necessarily both) brackets. Thus a Dirac structure inherits a canonical Lie algebroid structure.

Let $A \to M$ be a vector bundle. Assume that A and its dual A^* both carry a Lie algebroid structure with anchor maps $a : A \to TM$ and $a_* : A^* \to TM$, brackets on sections $\Gamma(A)\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\Gamma(A) \to \Gamma(A) : u\otimes v \mapsto [u, v]$ and $\Gamma(A^*)\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\Gamma(A^*) \to \Gamma(A^*) : \theta\otimes\eta \mapsto [\theta, \eta]_*$, and differentials $d : \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^*) \to \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet+1} A^*)$ and $d_* : \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A) \to \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet+1} A)$.

This pair of Lie algebroids (A, A^*) is a Lie bialgebroid (or Manin triple) [16, 29, 30] if d_* is a derivation of the Gerstenhaber algebra $(\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A), \wedge, [\cdot,\cdot])$ or, equivalently, if d is a derivation of the Gerstenhaber algebra $(\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^*), \wedge, [\cdot,\cdot]_*)$. Since the bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]_*$ (resp. $[\cdot,\cdot]$) can be recovered from the derivation d_* (resp. d), one is led to the following alternative definition. A Lie bialgebroid is a pair (A, d_*) consisting of a Lie algebroid $(A, [\cdot,\cdot], a)$ and a degree 1 derivation d_* of the Gerstenhaber algebra $(\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A), \wedge, [\cdot,\cdot])$ such that $d_*^2 = 0$.

The link between Courant and Lie bialgebroids is given by the following

Theorem 2.1 ([27]). *There is a 1-1 correspondence between Lie bialgebroids and pairs of transversal Dirac structures in a Courant algebroid.*

More precisely, let $A \to M$ be a vector bundle such that A and its dual A^* both carry a Lie algebroid structure with anchor maps $a : A \to TM$ and $a_* : A^* \to TM$, brackets on sections $\Gamma(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma(A) \to \Gamma(A) : u \otimes v \mapsto [u, v]$ and $\Gamma(A^*) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma(A^*) \to \Gamma(A^*) : \theta \otimes \eta \mapsto [\theta, \eta]_*$, and differentials $d : \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^*) \to \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet+1} A^*)$ and $d_* : \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A) \to \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet+1} A)$. If the pair (A, A^*) is a Lie bialgebroid, then the vector bundle $A \oplus A^* \to M$ together with the pseudo-metric

$$\langle X_1 + \xi_1, X_2 + \xi_2\rangle = \tfrac{1}{2}\big(\xi_1(X_2) + \xi_2(X_1)\big), \tag{7}$$

the anchor map $\rho = a + a_*$ (whose dual is given by $\mathcal{D}f = df + d_*f$ for $f \in C^\infty(M)$) and the Dorfman bracket

$$(X_1+\xi_1)\circ(X_2+\xi_2) = \big([X_1, X_2]+L_{\xi_1}X_2-\iota_{\xi_2}(d_*X_1)\big)+\big([\xi_1, \xi_2]_*+L_{X_1}\xi_2-\iota_{X_2}(d\xi_1)\big) \tag{8}$$

is a Courant algebroid of which A and A^* are transverse Dirac structures. It is called the double of the Lie bialgebroid (A, A^*). Here X_1, X_2 denote arbitrary sections of A and ξ_1, ξ_2 arbitrary sections of A^*.

2.2 Dirac generating operators

Let V be a vector space of dimension n endowed with a non degenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$. Its Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}(V)$ is defined as the quotient of the tensor algebra $\oplus_{k=0}^{n} V^{\otimes n}$ by the relations $x\otimes y+y\otimes x = 2\langle x, y\rangle$ $(x, y \in V)$. It is naturally an associative $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded algebra. Up to isomorphism, there exists a unique irreducible module S of $\mathcal{C}(V)$ called spin representation [5]. The vectors of S are called spinors.

Example 2.2. *Let W be a vector space of dimension r. We can endow $V = W\oplus W^*$ with the non degenerate pairing*

$$\langle w_1 + \omega_1, w_2 + \omega_2\rangle = \tfrac{1}{2}\big(\omega_1(w_2) + \omega_2(w_1)\big),$$

where $w_1, w_2 \in W$ and $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in W^*$. The representation of $\mathcal{C}(V)$ on $S = \oplus_{k=0}^{r} \wedge^k W$ defined by $u \cdot w = u \wedge w$ and $\xi \cdot w = \iota_\xi w$, where $u \in W$, $\xi \in W^*$ and $w \in S$, is the spin representation. Note that S is $\mathbb{Z}$- and thus also $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded.

Now let $\pi : E \to M$ be a vector bundle endowed with a non degenerate pseudo-metric $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ on its fibers and let $\mathcal{C}(E) \to M$ be the associated bundle of Clifford algebras. Assume there exists a smooth vector bundle $S \to M$ whose fiber S_m over a point $m \in M$ is the spin module of the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}(E)_m$. Assume furthermore that S is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded: $S = S^0 \oplus S^1$.

An operator O on $\Gamma(S)$ is called even (or of degree 0) if $O(S^i) \subset S^i$ and odd (or of degree 1) if $O(S^i) \subset S^{i+1}$. Here $i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Example 2.3. *If the vector bundle E decomposes as the direct sum $A \oplus A^*$ of two transverse Lagrangian subbundles as in Example 2.2, then $S = \wedge A$. The multiplication by a function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ is an even operator on $\Gamma(S)$ while the Clifford action of a section $e \in \Gamma(E)$ is an odd operator on $\Gamma(S)$.*

If O_1 and O_2 are operators of degree d_1 and d_2 respectively, then their commutator is the operator $[O_1, O_2] = O_1 \circ O_2 - (-1)^{d_1 d_2} O_2 \circ O_1$.

Definition 2.4 ([1])**.** *A Dirac generating operator for $(E, \langle\ ,\ \rangle)$ is an odd operator D on $\Gamma(S)$ satisfying the following properties:*

(a) *For all $f \in C^\infty(M)$, $[D, f] \in \Gamma(E)$. This means that the operator $[D, f]$ is the Clifford action of some section of E.*

(b) *For all $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E)$, $[[D, e_1], e_2] \in \Gamma(E)$.*

(c) *The square of D is the multiplication by some function on M: that is $D^2 \in C^\infty(M)$.*

The "deriving operators" of [19] are a related more general notion.

We have the following useful properties:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[[D,D],e]=0,\\
&[D,[e_1,e_2]]=[[D,e_1],e_2]-[e_1,[D,e_2]],\\
&[[D,f],e]=[[D,e],f],
\end{aligned}$$

for all $f\in C^\infty(M)$ and $e,e_1,e_2\in\Gamma(E)$.

Theorem 2.5 ([1]). *Let D be a Dirac generating operator for a vector bundle $\pi: E\to M$. Then there is a canonical Courant algebroid structure on E. The anchor $\rho: E\to TM$ is defined by $\rho(e)f=2\langle[D,f],e\rangle=[[D,f],e]$, while the Dorfman bracket reads $e_1\circ e_2=[[D,e_1],e_2]$.*

3 Statement of the main theorem

We follow the same setup as in [1].

Let $(A,[\cdot,\cdot],a)$ and $(A^*,[\cdot,\cdot]_*,a_*)$ be a pair of Lie algebroid structures on a rank-n vector bundle A over a dimension-m manifold M and its dual A^*. The line bundle $\wedge^nA^*\otimes\wedge^mT^*M$ is a module over the Lie algebroid A^* [10]: a section $\alpha\in\Gamma(A^*)$ acts on $\Gamma(\wedge^nA^*\otimes\wedge^mT^*M)$ by

$$\alpha\cdot(\alpha_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\alpha_n\otimes\mu)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\big(\alpha_1\wedge\cdots\wedge[\alpha,\alpha_i]_*\wedge\cdots\wedge\alpha_n\otimes\mu\big)+\alpha_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\alpha_n\otimes L_{a_*(\alpha)}\mu. \tag{9}$$

If it exists, the square root $\mathscr{L}=(\wedge^nA^*\otimes\wedge^mT^*M)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ of this line bundle is also a module over A^*. One can thus define a differential operator

$$\breve{d}_*:\Gamma(\wedge^kA\otimes\mathscr{L})\to\Gamma(\wedge^{k+1}A\otimes\mathscr{L}). \tag{10}$$

Similarly, $(\wedge^nA\otimes\wedge^mT^*M)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is — provided it exists — a module over A. Hence we obtain a differential operator

$$\Gamma(\wedge^kA^*\otimes(\wedge^nA\otimes\wedge^mT^*M)^{\frac{1}{2}})\to\Gamma(\wedge^{k+1}A^*\otimes(\wedge^nA\otimes\wedge^mT^*M)^{\frac{1}{2}}). \tag{11}$$

But the isomorphisms of vector bundles

$$\wedge^kA^*\cong\wedge^kA^*\otimes\wedge^{n-k}A^*\otimes\wedge^{n-k}A\cong\wedge^{n-k}A\otimes\wedge^nA^* \tag{12}$$

and

$$\wedge^nA^*\otimes(\wedge^nA\otimes\wedge^mT^*M)^{\frac{1}{2}}\cong(\wedge^nA^*\otimes\wedge^mT^*M)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{13}$$

imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
\wedge^k A^*\otimes(\wedge^nA\otimes\wedge^mT^*M)^{\frac{1}{2}}&\cong\wedge^{n-k}A\otimes\wedge^nA^*\otimes(\wedge^nA\otimes\wedge^mT^*M)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\cong\wedge^{n-k}A\otimes(\wedge^nA^*\otimes\wedge^mT^*M)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Therefore, one ends up with a differential operator

$$\breve{\partial}:\Gamma(\wedge^kA\otimes\mathscr{L})\to\Gamma(\wedge^{k-1}A\otimes\mathscr{L}). \tag{15}$$

Our main results are the following theorem and its corollary.

Theorem 3.1. *The pair of Lie algebroids (A, A^*) is a Lie bialgebroid if, and only if, $\breve{D}^2 \in C^\infty(M)$, i.e. the square of the operator $\breve{D} = \breve{d}_* + \breve{\partial}$: $\Gamma(\wedge A \otimes \mathscr{L}) \to \Gamma(\wedge A \otimes \mathscr{L})$ is the multiplication by some function $\breve{f} \in C^\infty(M)$. Moreover $\breve{D}_*^2 = \breve{f}$, where $\breve{D}_* = \breve{d} + \breve{\partial}_*$ is defined analogously to $\breve{D}$ by exchanging the roles of A and A^*.*

Corollary 3.2. *The pair of Lie algebroids (A, A^*) is a Lie bialgebroid if, and only if, $\breve{D} = \breve{d}_* + \breve{\partial}$ is a Dirac generating operator for the bundle $A \oplus A^*$ endowed with the pseudo-metric*

$$\langle X_1 + \xi_1, X_2 + \xi_2 \rangle = \tfrac{1}{2}\big(\xi_1(X_2) + \xi_2(X_1)\big),$$

where $X_1, X_2 \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Gamma(A^*)$.

Assume there exists a volume form $s \in \Gamma(\wedge^m T^*M)$ and a nowhere vanishing section $\Omega \in \Gamma(\wedge^n A^*)$ so that $\mathscr{L}$ is the trivial line bundle over M. And let $V \in \Gamma(\wedge^n A)$ be the section dual to Ω: $\langle \Omega | V \rangle = 1$. These induce two bundle isomorphisms:

$$\Omega^\sharp : \wedge^k A \to \wedge^{n-k} A^* : X \mapsto \iota_X \Omega, \tag{16}$$

$$V^\sharp : \wedge^k A^* \to \wedge^{n-k} A : \xi \mapsto \iota_\xi V, \tag{17}$$

which are essentially inverse to each other:

$$(V^\sharp \circ \Omega^\sharp)(X) = (-1)^{k(n-1)} X, \qquad \forall X \in \wedge^k A; \tag{18}$$

$$(\Omega^\sharp \circ V^\sharp)(\varphi) = (-1)^{k(n-1)} \varphi, \qquad \forall \varphi \in \wedge^k A^*. \tag{19}$$

Consider the operator ∂ dual to d with respect to $\Omega^\sharp$:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \Gamma(\wedge^k A^*) \arrow[r, "V^\sharp"] \arrow[d, "-(-1)^k d"'] & \Gamma(\wedge^{n-k} A) \arrow[d, "\partial"] \\ \Gamma(\wedge^{k+1} A^*) \arrow[r, "V^\sharp"'] & \Gamma(\wedge^{n-k-1} A), \end{tikzcd} \tag{20}$$

or

$$-V^\sharp d\alpha = (-1)^k \partial V^\sharp \alpha, \quad \forall \alpha \in \Gamma(\wedge^k A^*), \tag{21}$$

which, due to (18) and (19), can be rewritten as

$$\Omega^\sharp \partial \beta = (-1)^l d \Omega^\sharp \beta, \quad \forall \beta \in \Gamma(\wedge^l A). \tag{22}$$

We also have the operator ∂_* dual to d_*:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \Gamma(\wedge^{n-k} A) \arrow[d, "(-1)^k d_*"'] & \Gamma(\wedge^k A^*) \arrow[l, "V^\sharp"'] \arrow[d, "\partial_*"] \\ \Gamma(\wedge^{n-k+1} A) & \Gamma(\wedge^{k-1} A^*), \arrow[l, "V^\sharp"] \end{tikzcd}$$

or

$$d_* V^\sharp \alpha = (-1)^k V^\sharp \partial_* \alpha, \quad \forall \alpha \in \Gamma(\wedge^k A^*).$$

The operator ∂ is a Batalin-Vilkovisky operator for the Lie algebroid A [17,25,31,36]: indeed one has $\partial^2 = 0$ and, for any $u \in \Gamma(\wedge^k A)$ and $v \in \Gamma(\wedge^l A)$,

$$[u, v] = (-1)^k \big(\partial(u \wedge v) - (\partial u) \wedge v - (-1)^k u \wedge (\partial v)\big). \tag{23}$$

Using (23), one can also prove that

$$\partial[u, v] = [\partial u, v] + (-1)^{k+1}[u, \partial v].$$

Similar relations hold for ∂_*.

Consider the pair of operators $D = d_* + \partial$ on $\Gamma(\wedge A)$ and $D_* = d + \partial_*$ on $\Gamma(\wedge A^*)$. Their squares yield the pair of Laplacian operators

$$\Delta = D^2 = d_*\partial + \partial d_* : \quad \Gamma(\wedge^k A) \to \Gamma(\wedge^k A), \tag{24}$$

$$\Delta_* = D_*^2 = d\partial_* + \partial_* d : \quad \Gamma(\wedge^k A^*) \to \Gamma(\wedge^k A^*). \tag{25}$$

There exists a unique $X_0 \in \Gamma(A)$ such that

$$L_\theta(\Omega \otimes s) = (L_\theta \Omega) \otimes s + \Omega \otimes (L_{a_*(\theta)} s) = \langle X_0 | \theta \rangle \Omega \otimes s, \quad \forall \theta \in \Gamma(A^*). \tag{26}$$

Similarly, there exists a unique $\xi_0 \in \Gamma(A^*)$ such that

$$L_u(s \otimes V) = (L_{a(u)} s) \otimes V + s \otimes (L_u V) = \langle \xi_0 | u \rangle s \otimes V, \quad \forall u \in \Gamma(A). \tag{27}$$

These sections X_0 and ξ_0 are called modular cocycles and their cohomology classes are called modular classes [10].

A simple computation yields that

$$\breve{d}_*(a \otimes l) = (d_* a + \tfrac{1}{2} X_0 \wedge a) \otimes l$$

and

$$\breve{\partial}(a \otimes l) = (-\partial a + \tfrac{1}{2}\iota_{\xi_0} a) \otimes l,$$

for all $a \in \Gamma(\wedge A)$ and $l \in \Gamma(\mathscr{L})$. Hence

$$\breve{D} = \breve{d}_* + \breve{\partial} = d_* - \partial + \tfrac{1}{2}(X_0 \wedge + \iota_{\xi_0}).$$

Proposition 3.3. *Let (A, A^*) be a Lie bialgebroid. Then the function $\breve{f} = \breve{D}^2 = \breve{D}_*^2$ is determined by any of the following two equalities.*

(a) $L_{X_0}(\Omega \otimes s) = 4\breve{f}(\Omega \otimes s)$.

(b) $L_{\xi_0}(s \otimes V) = 4\breve{f}(s \otimes V)$.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is based on the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $A \to M$ be a vector bundle such that A and A^* are each endowed with a Lie algebroid structure. The following six assertions are equivalent:*

(a) $d_*[u, v] = [d_* u, v] + (-1)^{k-1}[u, d_* v], \quad \forall u \in \Gamma(\wedge^k A), \forall v \in \Gamma(\wedge^l A)$.

(b) $d[\theta, \eta]_* = [d\theta, \eta]_* + (-1)^{k-1}[\theta, d\eta]_*, \quad \forall \theta \in \Gamma(\wedge^k A^*), \forall \eta \in \Gamma(\wedge^l A^*)$.

(c) $\Delta(u \wedge v) = \Delta u \wedge v + u \wedge \Delta v, \quad \forall u, v \in \Gamma(\wedge A)$.

(d) $\Delta_*(\theta \wedge \eta) = \Delta_*\theta \wedge \eta + \theta \wedge \Delta_*\eta, \quad \forall \theta, \eta \in \Gamma(\wedge A^*)$.

(e) $\Delta = \frac{1}{2}(L_{X_0} + L_{\xi_0}) : \Gamma(\wedge A) \to \Gamma(\wedge A)$.

(f) $\Delta_* = \frac{1}{2}(L_{X_0} + L_{\xi_0}) : \Gamma(\wedge A^*) \to \Gamma(\wedge A^*)$.

and they imply the following six equivalent assertions:

(g) $\Delta_*\langle\theta|u\rangle = \langle\Delta_*\theta|u\rangle + \langle\theta|\Delta u\rangle, \quad \forall u \in \Gamma(A),\ \theta \in \Gamma(A^*)$.

(h) $\Delta\langle\theta|u\rangle = \langle\Delta_*\theta|u\rangle + \langle\theta|\Delta u\rangle, \quad \forall u \in \Gamma(A),\ \theta \in \Gamma(A^*)$.

(i) *For all* $u \in \Gamma(A)$ *and* $\theta \in \Gamma(A^*)$, *the map* $L_{u\circ\theta} - [L_u, L_\theta] : \Gamma(A^*) \to \Gamma(A^*)$ *is* $C^\infty(M)$*-linear and its trace is* $\operatorname{tr}\big(L_{u\circ\theta} - [L_u, L_\theta]\big) = 2\langle d_*u|d\theta\rangle$.

(j) *For all* $\theta \in \Gamma(A^*)$ *and* $u \in \Gamma(A)$, *the map* $L_{\theta\circ u} - [L_\theta, L_u] : \Gamma(A) \to \Gamma(A)$ *is* $C^\infty(M)$*-linear and its trace is* $\operatorname{tr}\big(L_{\theta\circ u} - [L_\theta, L_u]\big) = 2\langle d\theta|d_*u\rangle$.

(k) $\Delta f = \frac{1}{2}(L_{X_0} + L_{\xi_0})f$ *and* $\Delta u = \frac{1}{2}(L_{X_0} + L_{\xi_0})u$, *for all* $f \in C^\infty(M)$ *and* $u \in \Gamma(A)$.

(l) $\Delta_* f = \frac{1}{2}(L_{X_0} + L_{\xi_0})f$ *and* $\Delta_*\theta = \frac{1}{2}(L_{X_0} + L_{\xi_0})\theta$, *for all* $f \in C^\infty(M)$ *and* $\theta \in \Gamma(A^*)$.

Here are a few consequences of Theorem 3.4.

Corollary 3.5. *If the pair* (A, A^*) *is a Lie bialgebroid, we have the following relations:*

(m) $L_{X_0}V = -L_{\xi_0}V$.

(n) $L_{X_0}\Omega = -L_{\xi_0}\Omega$.

(o) $\partial_*\xi_0 = \partial X_0$.

(p) $L_{X_0}s = L_{\xi_0}s$.

Corollary 3.6. *If the pair* (A, A^*) *is a Lie bialgebroid, then* Δ *is a derivation of the Gerstenhaber algebra* $\wedge A$*:*

$$\Delta(u \wedge v) = \Delta u \wedge v + u \wedge \Delta v; \tag{28}$$

$$\Delta[u, v] = [\Delta u, v] + [u, \Delta v] \tag{29}$$

for all $u, v \in \Gamma(\wedge A)$.

Example 3.7. *There is an important example of the preceding construction in Poisson geometry, which we shall now examine. Let* P *be a Poisson manifold with Poisson tensor* π. *For the more general twisted Poisson manifolds, one may consult* [21]. *The cotangent bundle* T^*P *carries a natural Lie algebroid structure, called the cotangent Lie algebroid of the Poisson manifold* (P, π) [6]. *Its anchor is the bundle map* $\pi^\sharp :\ T^*P \to TP$ *and its Lie bracket is given by*

$$[\alpha, \beta]_\pi = L_{\pi^\sharp(\alpha)}\beta - L_{\pi^\sharp(\beta)}\alpha - d(\pi(\alpha, \beta)) = L_{\pi^\sharp(\alpha)}\beta - \iota_{\pi^\sharp(\beta)}d\alpha.$$

for any two 1-forms α and β on P. Moreover, the pair (TP, T^*P) is a Lie bialgebroid. The differential d_* corresponding to the Lie algebroid structure on T^*P is the operator

$$d_\pi = [\pi,\ ] : \mathfrak{X}^k(P) \to \mathfrak{X}^{k+1}(P)$$

first introduced by Lichnerowicz to define Poisson cohomology [26].

Koszul [25] *and Brylinski* [2] *defined the Poisson homology operator $\partial_\pi : \ \Omega^k(P) \to \Omega^{k-1}(P)$ as*

$$\partial_\pi = [\iota_\pi, d] = \iota_\pi \circ d - d \circ \iota_\pi.$$

This boundary operator is intimately related to the modular class of the Poisson manifold introduced independently by Weinstein [35] *and by Brylinski & Zuckerman* [3]. *Let us briefly recall its definition. The modular vector field of P with respect to a volume form $\Omega \in \Omega^{\mathrm{top}}(P)$ is the derivation X_Ω of the algebra of functions $C^\infty(P)$ characterized by*

$$L_{\pi^\sharp(df)}\Omega = X_\Omega(f)\Omega. \tag{30}$$

It is proved in [10] *that the modular cocycle X_0 of the cotangent Lie algebroid T^*P is equal to $2X_\Omega$. Moreover, it is shown in* [17, 35] *that $X_\Omega = \partial\pi$, where $\partial : \Gamma(\wedge^k TP) \to \Gamma(\wedge^{k-1}TP)$ is the operator which generates the Schouten bracket on $\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(P)$ and is defined by*

$$\partial u = (-1)^k (\Omega^\sharp)^{-1} \circ d \circ \Omega^\sharp(u), \qquad \forall u \in \Gamma(\wedge^k TM).$$

Similarly, the operator $\partial_* : \Omega^k(P) \to \Omega^{k-1}(P)$ defined by

$$\partial_*(\beta) = (-1)^{n-k-1}\Omega^\sharp \circ d_\pi \circ (\Omega^\sharp)^{-1}(\beta), \qquad \forall \beta \in \Omega^k(P)$$

generates the Lie bracket on $\Omega(P)$.

The operators ∂_π and ∂_* are related in the following way [36]*:*

$$\partial_* = \partial_\pi + \iota_{X_\Omega}.$$

The Laplacian operators are thus

$$\Delta_* = d\partial_* + \partial_* d = d\partial_\pi + \partial_\pi d + d\iota_{X_\Omega} + \iota_{X_\Omega} d = L_{X_\Omega} = \tfrac{1}{2}L_{2X_\Omega}.$$

and

$$\Delta = d_*\partial + \partial d_* = d_\pi \partial + \partial d_\pi = [\pi, \partial(\ )] + \partial[\pi,\ ] = [\partial\pi,\ ] = L_{X_\Omega} = \tfrac{1}{2}L_{2X_\Omega}.$$

Since the modular cocycle ξ_0 of the Lie algebroid TP is always zero, the above conclusions are in agreement with (e) and (f) of Theorem 3.4.

Since here $A = TP$ and $A^* = T^*P$, we have $\mathscr{L} = \wedge^{\mathrm{top}}T^*P$ and it is left to the reader to check that the operators $\breve{d}_*$ and $\breve{\partial}$ defined in (10) *and* (15) *are given by*

$$\breve{d}_*(a \otimes \Omega) = ([\pi, a] + X_\Omega \wedge a) \otimes \Omega,$$
$$\breve{\partial}(a \otimes \Omega) = -\partial a \otimes \Omega,$$

for all $a \in \Gamma(\wedge TP)$.

Exchanging the roles of A and A^*, the line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ is now the trivial line bundle over P and the operators $\breve{d}$ and $\breve{\partial}_*$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\breve{d}(a\otimes 1)&=da\otimes 1,\\ \breve{\partial}_*(a\otimes 1)&=-\partial_\pi a\otimes 1,\end{aligned}$$

where $a\in\Gamma(\wedge T^*P)$.

We will see in Section 6 that the squares of both Dirac generating operators $\breve{D}=\breve{d}_*+\breve{\partial}$ and $\breve{D}_*=\breve{d}+\breve{\partial}_*$ are zero.

It is well known [30] that, if a and a_* denote the anchor maps of a Lie bialgebroid (A,A^*), the bundle map

$$\pi^\sharp=a\circ(a_*)^*:T^*M\to TM$$

defines a Poisson structure on the base manifold M.

Corollary 3.8. *Let M be an orientable manifold with volume form $s\in\Omega^{\mathrm{top}}(M)$ and let (A,A^*) be a Lie bialgebroid over M with associated Poisson bivector π. Then the modular vector field of the Poisson manifold (M,π) with respect to s is*

$$X_s=\tfrac{1}{2}\big(a_*(\xi_0)-a(X_0)\big). \tag{31}$$

Proof. By definition of ξ_0,

$$L_{d_*f}(s\otimes V)=\langle\xi_0|d_*f\rangle s\otimes V=(L_{\xi_0}f)s\otimes V \qquad \forall f\in C^\infty(M).$$

Since

$$a(d_*f)=a\circ(a_*)^*(d_{\mathrm{DR}}f)=\pi^\sharp(d_{\mathrm{DR}}f) \qquad \forall f\in C^\infty(M),$$

we get

$$L_{d_*f}s=L_{a(d_*f)}s=L_{\pi^\sharp(d_{\mathrm{DR}}f)}s=X_s(f)\,s \qquad \forall f\in C^\infty(M),$$

where we have used the definition (30) of the modular class of a Poisson manifold. On the other hand, it follows from Theorem 3.4 that

$$L_{d_*f}V=\partial(d_*f)V=(\Delta f)V=\tfrac{1}{2}(L_{X_0}f+L_{\xi_0}f)V \qquad \forall f\in C^\infty(M).$$

Hence, we obtain

$$(L_{\xi_0}f)s\otimes V=X_s(f)s\otimes V+\tfrac{1}{2}(L_{X_0}f+L_{\xi_0}f)s\otimes V,$$

which completes the proof. □

4 Technicalities

The following objects play a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 3.4:

- the sections $\Delta(u\wedge v)=\Delta u\wedge v+u\wedge\Delta v$, where $u,v\in\Gamma(\wedge A)$;
- the sections $d_*[u,v]=[d_*u,v]-(-1)^k[u,d_*v]$, where $u\in\Gamma(\wedge^k A)$ and $v\in\Gamma(\wedge^l A)$;

- the operators $L_{u\circ\theta} - [L_u, L_\theta] : \Gamma(A^*) \to \Gamma(A^*)$, where $u \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\theta \in \Gamma(A^*)$;
- the functions $\Delta_*\langle\theta|u\rangle - \langle\Delta_*\theta|u\rangle - \langle\theta|\Delta u\rangle$, where $u \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\theta \in \Gamma(A^*)$;
- the operators $L_{d_*f} + L_{df} : \Gamma(\wedge A) \to \Gamma(\wedge A)$, where $f \in C^\infty(M)$;
- the operators $\Delta - \frac{1}{2}(L_{X_0} + L_{\xi_0}) : \Gamma(\wedge A) \to \Gamma(\wedge A)$.

In this section, we will establish a bunch of key relations between them. The proof of Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.5 is deferred to Section 5.

Throughout this section, A is a smooth vector bundle of rank n over a smooth manifold M of dimension m such that A and its dual A^* both carry a Lie algebroid structure with anchor maps $a : A \to TM$ and $a_* : A^* \to TM$, brackets on sections $\Gamma(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma(A) \to \Gamma(A) : u \otimes v \mapsto [u, v]$ and $\Gamma(A^*) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma(A^*) \to \Gamma(A^*) : \theta \otimes \eta \mapsto [\theta, \eta]_*$, and differentials $d : \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^*) \to \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet+1} A^*)$ and $d_* : \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A) \to \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet+1} A)$. The vector bundle $A \oplus A^* \to M$ is endowed with the pseudo-metric (7) and the Dorfman bracket (8).

Moreover, we assume there exists a nowhere vanishing section $\Omega \in \Gamma(\wedge^n A^*)$. And we let $V \in \Gamma(\wedge^n A)$ be the section dual to Ω: $\langle\Omega|V\rangle = 1$. These induce two bundle isomorphisms $\Omega^\sharp$ and $V^\sharp$ as in (16) and (17). The operators ∂, ∂_*, Δ and Δ_* are defined as earlier by the relations (20) to (25).

From (8), it follows that

$$u \circ \theta = -\iota_\theta d_* u + L_u \theta \qquad \text{and} \qquad \theta \circ u = L_\theta u - \iota_u d\theta,$$

for all $u \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\theta \in \Gamma(A^*)$.

From now on, we fix a volume form s of M, a nowhere vanishing section $\Omega \in \Gamma(\wedge^n A^*)$ and its dual $V \in \Gamma(\wedge^n A)$. The modular cocycle of the Lie algebroid A^* (resp. A) is the unique section $X_0 \in \Gamma(A)$ (resp. $\xi_0 \in \Gamma(A^*)$) satisfying (26) (resp. (27)).

4.1 Some ubiquitous lemmata

Lemma 4.1. *For all $u \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\theta \in \Gamma(A^*)$, one has:*

$$L_u\Omega = -(\partial u)\Omega, \qquad L_u V = (\partial u)V, \tag{32}$$

$$L_\theta\Omega = (\partial_*\theta)\Omega, \qquad L_\theta V = -(\partial_*\theta)V. \tag{33}$$

Proof. By (18),

$$u = (-1)^{n-1} V^\sharp \Omega^\sharp(u) = V^\sharp(\xi),$$

where $\xi = (-1)^{n-1}\Omega^\sharp(u) \in \Gamma(\wedge^{n-1} A^*)$. Since

$$d\xi = (-1)^{n-1} d\iota_u \Omega = (-1)^{n-1} L_u \Omega,$$

it follows from the definition of ∂ that

$$(\partial u)\Omega = \Omega^\sharp(\partial u) = (-1)^n \Omega^\sharp V^\sharp(d\xi) = -\Omega^\sharp V^\sharp(L_u\Omega) = -L_u\Omega.$$

And the second equality in (32) follows immediately from

$$0 = L_u \langle\Omega|V\rangle = \langle L_u\Omega|V\rangle + \langle\Omega|L_u V\rangle .$$

Finally, the symmetry in the exchange of A and A^* implies (33). □

Notation. *In the sequel,* $L_vA\otimes B$ *means* $(L_vA)\otimes B$ *rather than* $L_v(A\otimes B)$.

Lemma 4.2. *For all* $u\in\Gamma(A)$ *and* $\theta\in\Gamma(A^*)$*, one has:*

$$\begin{aligned}
L_u\Omega\otimes V&=-\Omega\otimes L_uV, &(34)\\
L_\theta\Omega\otimes V&=-\Omega\otimes L_\theta V, &(35)\\
L_u\Omega\otimes L_\theta V&=L_\theta\Omega\otimes L_uV, &(36)\\
L_\theta L_u\Omega\otimes V+\Omega\otimes L_\theta L_uV&=-2L_u\Omega\otimes L_\theta V. &(37)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Equations (34), (35) and (36) follow directly from Lemma 4.1. Applying L_θ to both sides of Equation (34) and making use of (36), we get (37). □

Lemma 4.3. *For all* $\theta\in\Gamma(A^*)$ *and* $u\in\Gamma(A)$*, we have*

$$\partial\iota_\theta+\iota_\theta\partial=\iota_{d\theta}\qquad\text{and}\qquad\partial_*\iota_u+\iota_u\partial_*=\iota_{d_*u}. \tag{38}$$

Proof. Given $x\in\Gamma(\wedge^kA)$, there exists $\omega\in\Gamma(\wedge^{n-k}A^*)$ such that $x=V^\sharp(\omega)$. Applying ∂ to both sides of

$$\iota_\theta x=\theta\lrcorner(\omega\lrcorner V)=(\omega\wedge\theta)\lrcorner V=V^\sharp(\omega\wedge\theta),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial(\iota_\theta x)=(-1)^{n-k}V^\sharp d(\omega\wedge\theta)&=(-1)^{n-k}V^\sharp(d\omega\wedge\theta+(-1)^{n-k}\omega\wedge d\theta)\\
&=(-1)^{n-k}\theta\lrcorner(d\omega\lrcorner V)+d\theta\lrcorner(V^\sharp\omega)=d\theta\lrcorner x-\theta\lrcorner\partial x.\qquad\square
\end{aligned}$$

4.2 Key relations

Proposition 4.4. *For any* $x\in\Gamma(\wedge^kA)$ *and* $y\in\Gamma(\wedge^lA)$*, one has*

$$\Delta(x\wedge y)-(\Delta x)\wedge y-x\wedge(\Delta y)=(-1)^k(d_*[x,y]-[d_*x,y]+(-1)^k[x,d_*y]).$$

In particular, for all $f,g\in C^\infty(M)$ *and* $u,v\in\Gamma(A)$*, one has*

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(fg)-f\Delta g-g\Delta f&=-[d_*f,g]+[f,d_*g]; &(39)\\
\Delta(fu)-f\Delta u-(\Delta f)u&=d_*[f,u]-[d_*f,u]+[f,d_*u]; &(40)\\
\Delta(u\wedge v)-(\Delta u)\wedge v-u\wedge(\Delta v)&=d_*[u,v]-[d_*u,v]-[u,d_*v].
\end{aligned}$$

The Laplacian Δ_* enjoys similar properties:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_*(fg)-f\Delta_*g-g\Delta_*f&=-[df,g]_*+[f,dg]_*, &(41)\\
\Delta_*(f\theta)-f\Delta_*\theta-\Delta_*f\ \theta&=d[f,\theta]_*-[df,\theta]_*+[f,d\theta]_*.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using the relations (23) and (24), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_*[x,y]-[d_*x,y]+(-1)^k[x,d_*y]\\
=&(-1)^k d_*\big(\partial(x\wedge y)-(\partial x)\wedge y-(-1)^k x\wedge(\partial y)\big)\\
&+(-1)^k\big(\partial(d_*x\wedge y)-(\partial d_*x)\wedge y+(-1)^k d_*x\wedge(\partial y)\big)\\
&+\big(\partial(x\wedge d_*y)-(\partial x)\wedge d_*y-(-1)^k x\wedge(\partial d_*y)\big)\\
=&(-1)^k\big(d_*\partial(x\wedge y)-(d_*\partial x)\wedge y+(-1)^k(\partial x)\wedge d_*y\\
&-(-1)^k d_*x\wedge(\partial y)-x\wedge(d_*\partial y)\big)\\
&+(-1)^k\big(\partial d_*(x\wedge y)-(\partial d_*x)\wedge y+(-1)^k d_*x\wedge(\partial y)\big)\\
&-(\partial x)\wedge d_*y-(-1)^k x\wedge(\partial d_*y)\\
=&(-1)^k(\Delta(x\wedge y)-(\Delta x)\wedge y-x\wedge(\Delta y)).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 4.5. *For all* $u\in\Gamma(A)$ *and* $\theta\in\Gamma(A^*)$, *we have*

$$\langle d_*[f,u]-[d_*f,u]+[f,d_*u]|\theta\rangle=-\langle(L_{d_*f}+L_{df})u|\theta\rangle=([L_u,L_\theta]-L_{u\circ\theta})f,\quad(42)$$

$$\langle u|d[f,\theta]_*-[df,\theta]_*+[f,d\theta]_*\rangle=-\langle u|(L_{d_*f}+L_{df})\theta\rangle=([L_\theta,L_u]-L_{\theta\circ u})f.\quad(43)$$

Proof. On the one hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&([L_u,L_\theta]-L_{u\circ\theta})f\\
=&L_u\langle d_*f|\theta\rangle-L_\theta\langle u|df\rangle-\langle d_*f|L_u\theta\rangle+\langle d_*u|\theta\wedge df\rangle\\
=&\langle L_u(d_*f)|\theta\rangle-L_\theta\langle u|df\rangle-\langle d_*u|df\wedge\theta\rangle\\
=&\langle L_u(d_*f)|\theta\rangle-a_*(\theta)\langle u|df\rangle-a_*(df)\langle u|\theta\rangle+a_*(\theta)\langle u|df\rangle+\langle u|[df,\theta]_*\rangle\\
=&-\langle(L_{d_*f}+L_{df})u|\theta\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

And on the other hand, since

$$\langle\iota_{df}d_*u|\theta\rangle=\langle d_*u|(df)\wedge\theta\rangle=L_{df}\langle u|\theta\rangle-L_\theta\langle u|df\rangle-\langle u|[df,\theta]\rangle,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle d_*[f,u]-[d_*f,u]+[f,d_*u]|\theta\rangle\\
=&-L_\theta\langle u|df\rangle+\langle\theta|[u,d_*f]\rangle-\langle\langle df,d_*\rangle u|\theta\rangle\\
=&-\langle\theta|(L_{d_*f}+L_{df})u\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 4.6.

$$(L_{u\circ\theta}-[L_u,L_\theta])\Omega\otimes V=\big(2\langle d_*u|d\theta\rangle-(\langle\Delta u|\theta\rangle+\langle u|\Delta_*\theta\rangle-\Delta_*\langle u|\theta\rangle)\big)\Omega\otimes V.$$

We need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.7. *For all* $u\in\Gamma(A)$ *and* $\theta\in\Gamma(A^*)$,

$$\langle\Delta u|\theta\rangle\,\Omega\otimes V=\langle d_*u|d\theta\rangle\,\Omega\otimes V+L_{\iota_\theta d_*u}\Omega\otimes V-L_\theta L_u\Omega\otimes V-L_u\Omega\otimes L_\theta V.\quad(44)$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.3, one has

$$\langle\partial d_*u|\theta\rangle=\langle d_*u|d\theta\rangle-\partial(\iota_\theta d_*u).$$

Therefore

$$\langle \Delta u | \theta \rangle = \langle \partial d_* u + d_* \partial u | \theta \rangle = \langle d_* u | d\theta \rangle - \partial(\iota_\theta d_* u) + L_\theta(\partial u).$$

On the other hand, applying L_θ to both sides of $(\partial u)\Omega \otimes V = \Omega \otimes L_u V$ (see Equation (32)), we obtain

$$L_\theta(\partial u)\Omega \otimes V + (\partial u) L_\theta \Omega \otimes V + (\partial u)\Omega \otimes L_\theta V = L_\theta \Omega \otimes L_u V + \Omega \otimes L_\theta L_u V.$$

Applying Equation (32) again, and then Equation (37), we get

$$L_\theta(\partial u)\Omega \otimes V = \Omega \otimes L_\theta L_u V + L_u \Omega \otimes L_\theta V = -L_u \Omega \otimes L_\theta V - L_\theta L_u \Omega \otimes V.$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Delta u | \theta \rangle \, \Omega \otimes V &= \langle d_* u | d\theta \rangle \, \Omega \otimes V - \partial(\iota_\theta d_* u)\Omega \otimes V + L_\theta(\partial u)\Omega \otimes V \\ &= \langle d_* u | d\theta \rangle \, \Omega \otimes V + L_{\iota_\theta d_* u} \Omega \otimes V - L_u \Omega \otimes L_\theta V - L_\theta L_u \Omega \otimes V. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Proposition 4.6. By the symmetry in the exchange of A and A^* in Lemma 4.7, we get

$$\langle u | \Delta_* \theta \rangle \, \Omega \otimes V = \langle d_* u | d\theta \rangle \, \Omega \otimes V + \Omega \otimes L_{\iota_u d\theta} V - \Omega \otimes L_u L_\theta V - L_u \Omega \otimes L_\theta V. \quad (45)$$

Adding (44) to (45) and making use of (37) to simplify, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\langle \Delta u | \theta \rangle + \langle u | \Delta_* \theta \rangle)\Omega \otimes V \\ = \; & 2 \langle d_* u | d\theta \rangle \, \Omega \otimes V + \Omega \otimes L_{\iota_u d\theta} V + L_{\iota_\theta d_* u} \Omega \otimes V + \Omega \otimes L_\theta L_u V - \Omega \otimes L_u L_\theta V \\ = \; & 2 \langle d_* u | d\theta \rangle \, \Omega \otimes V + \Omega \otimes L_{\iota_u d\theta} V - \Omega \otimes L_{\iota_\theta d_* u} V + \Omega \otimes [L_\theta, L_u] V. \end{aligned}$$

We also notice that, by (33),

$$(\Delta_* \langle u | \theta \rangle)\Omega \otimes V = (\partial_* d\iota_u \theta)\Omega \otimes V = -\Omega \otimes L_{d\iota_u \theta} V.$$

So, the subtraction of the last two equations above yields

$$\begin{aligned} & (\langle \Delta u | \theta \rangle + \langle u | \Delta_* \theta \rangle - \Delta_* \langle u | \theta \rangle)\Omega \otimes V \\ = \; & 2 \langle d_* u | d\theta \rangle \, \Omega \otimes V + \Omega \otimes (L_{u \circ \theta} - [L_u, L_\theta]) V \\ = \; & 2 \langle d_* u | d\theta \rangle \, \Omega \otimes V - (L_{u \circ \theta} - [L_u, L_\theta])\Omega \otimes V \quad \text{(by (34), (35) and (36))}, \end{aligned}$$

as required. $\square$

Proposition 4.8. *If*

$$\Delta_* \langle u | \theta \rangle = \langle \Delta u | \theta \rangle + \langle u | \Delta_* \theta \rangle, \quad (46)$$

holds for all $u \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\theta \in \Gamma(A^*)$, then for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$L_{d_* f} + L_{df} = 0 \qquad \textit{as a map } \Gamma(\wedge A) \to \Gamma(\wedge A).$$

Together with (32) and (33), this implies that

$$(\Delta f)\Omega = (\partial_* df)\Omega = L_{df}\Omega = -L_{d_* f}\Omega = (\partial d_* f)\Omega = (\Delta_* f)\Omega,$$

i.e. $\Delta f = \Delta_* f$ for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$. Therefore, (46) is equivalent to

$$\Delta \langle u | \theta \rangle = \langle \Delta u | \theta \rangle + \langle u | \Delta_* \theta \rangle.$$

Proof of Proposition 4.8. By (41), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_* \langle u|f\theta\rangle = \Delta_*(f\langle u|\theta\rangle) &= (\Delta_* f)\langle u|\theta\rangle + f\Delta_*\langle u|\theta\rangle - [df, \langle u|\theta\rangle]_* + [f, d\langle u|\theta\rangle]_* \\ &= (\Delta_* f)\langle u|\theta\rangle + f\Delta_*\langle u|\theta\rangle - (L_{d_* f} + L_{df})\langle u|\theta\rangle .\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (4.2) and Proposition 4.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \Delta u|f\theta\rangle + \langle u|\Delta_*(f\theta)\rangle \\ = \; & (\Delta_* f)\langle u|\theta\rangle + f\langle u|\Delta_*\theta\rangle + f\langle \Delta u|\theta\rangle + \langle u|d[f,\theta]_* - [df,\theta]_* + [f, d\theta]_*\rangle \\ = \; & (\Delta_* f)\langle u|\theta\rangle + f\langle u|\Delta_*\theta\rangle + f\langle \Delta u|\theta\rangle - \langle u|(L_{d_* f} + L_{df})\theta\rangle .\end{aligned}$$

So, if (46) holds for arbitrary u and θ, then

$$(L_{d_* f} + L_{df})\langle u|\theta\rangle = \langle u|(L_{d_* f} + L_{df})\theta\rangle .$$

The conclusion follows immediately. ☐

Proposition 4.9. *For any $f \in C^\infty(M)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & (2\Delta f - (L_{X_0} + L_{\xi_0})f)\Omega \otimes s \otimes V \\ & \qquad = \Omega \otimes s \otimes (L_{df} + L_{d_* f})V - \Omega \otimes (L_{df} + L_{d_* f})s \otimes V. \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Proof. The proof is a direct calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} & (2\Delta f - (L_{X_0} + L_{\xi_0})f)\Omega \otimes s \otimes V \\ = \; & (2\partial d_* f - \langle X_0|df\rangle - \langle d_* f|\xi_0\rangle)\Omega \otimes s \otimes V \\ = \; & 2\Omega \otimes s \otimes L_{d_* f}V - L_{df}(\Omega \otimes s) \otimes V - \Omega \otimes L_{d_* f}(s \otimes V) \\ = \; & \Omega \otimes s \otimes L_{d_* f}V - \Omega \otimes (L_{df} + L_{d_* f})s \otimes V - L_{df}\Omega \otimes s \otimes V. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows from (35). ☐

Proposition 4.10.

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle 2\Delta u - (L_{X_0} + L_{\xi_0})u|\theta\rangle \, \Omega \otimes s \otimes V = 2\langle d_* u|d\theta\rangle \, \Omega \otimes s \otimes V \\ & \qquad + ([L_u, L_\theta] - L_{u\circ\theta})\Omega \otimes s \otimes V + \Omega \otimes ([L_u, L_\theta] - L_{u\circ\theta})s \otimes V. \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

We will need two lemmas.

Lemma 4.11. *For all $u \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\theta \in \Gamma(A^*)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle u|\xi_0\rangle \, \Omega \otimes L_\theta(s \otimes V) - \langle X_0|\theta\rangle \, L_u(\Omega \otimes s) \otimes V \\ & \qquad = 2(\Omega \otimes L_\theta s \otimes L_u V - L_\theta\Omega \otimes L_u s \otimes V). \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Proof. We notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle u|\xi_0\rangle \, \Omega \otimes L_\theta(s \otimes V) \\ = \; & \langle u|\xi_0\rangle (\Omega \otimes L_\theta s \otimes V + \Omega \otimes s \otimes L_\theta V) \\ = \; & \langle u|\xi_0\rangle (\Omega \otimes L_\theta s \otimes V - L_\theta\Omega \otimes s \otimes V) \\ = \; & \langle u|\xi_0\rangle (L_\theta(\Omega \otimes s) \otimes V - 2L_\theta\Omega \otimes s \otimes V) \\ = \; & \langle u|\xi_0\rangle \langle X_0|\theta\rangle \, \Omega \otimes s \otimes V - 2L_\theta\Omega \otimes L_u(s \otimes V) \quad \text{(by definition of } \xi_0\text{)} \\ = \; & \langle u|\xi_0\rangle \langle X_0|\theta\rangle \, \Omega \otimes s \otimes V - 2L_\theta\Omega \otimes L_u s \otimes V - 2L_\theta\Omega \otimes s \otimes L_u V. \end{aligned}$$

For the same reasons we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle X_0|\theta\rangle\, L_u(\Omega\otimes s)\otimes V = \langle u|\xi_0\rangle\,\langle X_0|\theta\rangle\,\Omega\otimes s\otimes V - 2\Omega\otimes L_\theta s\otimes L_u V\\ - 2L_\theta\Omega\otimes s\otimes L_u V.\end{aligned}$$

The subtraction of these two equalities yields the result. □

Lemma 4.12. *For all $u\in\Gamma(A)$ and $\theta\in\Gamma(A^*)$,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle (L_{X_0}+L_{\xi_0})u|\theta\rangle\,\Omega\otimes s\otimes V\\ &\quad= L_{\iota_\theta d_* u}\Omega\otimes s\otimes V + L_{L_u\theta}\Omega\otimes s\otimes V - L_u L_\theta\Omega\otimes s\otimes V - L_\theta L_u\Omega\otimes s\otimes V\\ &\qquad - 2L_u\Omega\otimes s\otimes L_\theta V + \Omega\otimes(L_{u\circ\theta}-[L_u,L_\theta])s\otimes V. \qquad (50)\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Applying L_u to both sides of $\langle X_0|\theta\rangle\,\Omega\otimes s = L_\theta(\Omega\otimes s)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\langle [u,X_0]|\theta\rangle\,\Omega\otimes s =& L_uL_\theta(\Omega\otimes s) - \langle X_0|\theta\rangle\, L_u(\Omega\otimes s) - \langle X_0|L_u\theta\rangle\,\Omega\otimes s\\ =& L_uL_\theta\Omega\otimes s + L_\theta\Omega\otimes L_u s + L_u\Omega\otimes L_\theta s + \Omega\otimes L_uL_\theta s\\ &- \langle X_0|\theta\rangle\, L_u\Omega\otimes s - \langle X_0|\theta\rangle\,\Omega\otimes L_u s - L_{L_u\theta}(\Omega\otimes s).\end{aligned}$$

By the symmetry in the exchange of A and A^*, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\langle u|[\theta,\xi_0]_*\rangle\, s\otimes V =& L_\theta L_u s\otimes V + L_\theta s\otimes L_u V + L_u s\otimes L_\theta V + s\otimes L_\theta L_u V\\ &- \langle \xi_0|u\rangle\, s\otimes L_\theta V - \langle\xi_0|u\rangle\, L_\theta s\otimes V - L_{L_\theta u}(s\otimes V).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, one has

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle (L_{X_0}+L_{\xi_0})u|\theta\rangle\,\Omega\otimes s\otimes V\\ =\;& (-\langle [u,X_0]|\theta\rangle + \langle \xi_0|d_*\langle u|\theta\rangle\rangle + \langle u|[\theta,\xi_0]_*\rangle)\Omega\otimes s\otimes V\\ =\;& \Omega\otimes L_{d_*\langle u|\theta\rangle}(s\otimes V) - L_uL_\theta\Omega\otimes s\otimes V + \Omega\otimes s\otimes L_\theta L_u V\\ &+\Omega\otimes([L_\theta,L_u]+L_{L_u\theta}-L_{L_\theta u})s\otimes V - L_\theta\Omega\otimes L_u s\otimes V + \Omega\otimes L_u s\otimes L_\theta V\\ &-L_u\Omega\otimes L_\theta s\otimes V + \Omega\otimes L_\theta s\otimes L_u V - \langle u|\xi_0\rangle\,\Omega\otimes L_\theta(s\otimes V)\\ &+\langle X_0|\theta\rangle\, L_u(\Omega\otimes s)\otimes V + L_{L_u\theta}\Omega\otimes s\otimes V - \Omega\otimes s\otimes L_{L_\theta u}V\\ =\;& -L_uL_\theta\Omega\otimes s\otimes V + \Omega\otimes s\otimes L_\theta L_u V - \langle u|\xi_0\rangle\,\Omega\otimes L_\theta(s\otimes V)\\ &+\langle X_0|\theta\rangle\, L_u(\Omega\otimes s)\otimes V + 2(\Omega\otimes L_\theta s\otimes L_u V - L_\theta\Omega\otimes L_u s\otimes V)\\ &+\Omega\otimes([L_\theta,L_u]+L_{u\circ\theta})s\otimes V + L_{L_u\theta}\Omega\otimes s\otimes V + L_{\iota_\theta d_* u}\Omega\otimes s\otimes V.\end{aligned}$$

Then (50) follows immediately from (37) and (49). □

Proof of Proposition 4.10. This is a direct consequence of (44) and (50). □

5 Proof of the main theorem

Lemma 5.1. *If (A,A^*) is a Lie bialgebroid, then*

$$\langle X|(L_{u\circ\theta}-[L_u,L_\theta])\xi\rangle = \langle \iota_\xi d_* u|\iota_X d\theta\rangle,$$

for all $u,X\in\Gamma(A)$ and $\xi,\theta\in\Gamma(A^*)$.

Proof. From the definition of the Dorfman bracket, it follows that

$$\langle X|L_W\xi\rangle = 2\,\langle X, L_W\xi\rangle = 2\,\langle X, W\circ\xi\rangle\,,$$

for any $W\in\Gamma(A\oplus A^*)$. Hence we get

$$\langle X|L_uL_\theta\xi\rangle = 2\,\langle X, u\circ(\theta\circ\xi)\rangle \tag{51}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\langle X|L_\theta L_u\xi\rangle =& 2\,\langle X, \theta\circ(L_u\xi)\rangle\\ =& 2(L_\theta\,\langle X, L_u\xi\rangle - \langle\theta\circ X, L_u\xi\rangle)\\ =& 2(L_\theta\,\langle X, u\circ\xi\rangle - \langle\theta\circ X, u\circ\xi\rangle - \langle\theta\circ X, \iota_\xi d_*u\rangle)\\ =& 2\,\langle X, \theta\circ(u\circ\xi)\rangle + \langle\iota_\xi d_*u|\iota_X d\theta\rangle\,.\end{aligned}\tag{52}$$

Subtracting (51) from (52) yields the result. □

Proof of Theorem 3.4. *Step 1: We start by proving that (a) implies (i).*

Because of (42), for all $f\in C^\infty(M)$ and $\alpha\in\Gamma(A^*)$, one has

$$\big(L_{u\circ\theta} - [L_u, L_\theta]\big)(f\alpha) = \langle d_*[f,u] - [d_*f, u] + [f, d_*u]|\theta\rangle\,\alpha + f\big(L_{u\circ\theta} - [L_u, L_\theta]\big)(\alpha).$$

Therefore, (a) implies that $L_{u\circ\theta} - [L_u, L_\theta]$ is a $C^\infty(M)$-linear endomorphism of $\Gamma(\wedge A^*)$.

Let $\{X_1,\cdots,X_n\}$ be a local basis of $\Gamma(A)$ and let $\{\xi^1,\cdots,\xi^n\}$ be the dual basis of $\Gamma(A^*)$. Then it follows from Lemma 5.1 that

$$\operatorname{tr}(L_{u\circ\theta} - [L_u, L_\theta]) = \sum_{i=1}^n \big\langle X_i|(L_{u\circ\theta} - [L_u, L_\theta])\xi^i\big\rangle = \big\langle\iota_{\xi^i} d_*u|\iota_{X_i} d\theta\big\rangle = 2\,\langle d_*u|d\theta\rangle\,.$$

Step 2: We prove that all assertions of the second group are equivalent.

- $\boxed{\text{(g)} \Leftrightarrow \text{(h)}}$ First note that the equivalence of (g) and (h) was already shown to be a consequence of Proposition 4.8.
- $\boxed{\text{(g)} \Rightarrow \text{(i)}}$ Assuming (g) holds, the Propositions 4.8 and 4.5 imply that

  $$(L_{u\circ\theta} - [L_u, L_\theta])f = 0, \quad \forall f\in C^\infty(M).$$

  Thus the map $L_{u\circ\theta} - [L_u, L_\theta] : \Gamma(A^*)\to\Gamma(A^*)$ is $C^\infty(M)$-linear and it makes sense to speak of its trace, which is equal to $2\,\langle d_*u|d\theta\rangle$ by Proposition 4.6. This proves (i).
- $\boxed{\text{(i)} \Rightarrow \text{(k)}}$ First, the assumption that $L_{u\circ\theta} - [L_u, L_\theta]$ is $C^\infty(M)$-linear implies that

  $$(L_{u\circ\theta} - [L_u, L_\theta])f = 0, \quad \forall f\in C^\infty(M). \tag{53}$$

  Then, by (42), we have

  $$L_{d_*f} + L_{df} = 0, \quad \text{as a map } \Gamma(\wedge A)\to\Gamma(\wedge A) \tag{54}$$

and the first term of the r.h.s. of (47) vanishes. Moreover, the second term of the r.h.s. of (47) is also zero. Indeed, the expression $s = g\,dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$ of s in local coordinates leads to

$$\begin{aligned}(L_{df} + L_{d_*f})s = (L_{a_*(df)+a(d_*f)}g)\,dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n \\ + g\sum_{i=1}^{n} dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d(L_{a_*(df)+a(d_*f)}x_i) \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n,\end{aligned}$$

whose r.h.s. must vanish since (54) implies that

$$(L_{df} + L_{d_*f})g = L_{a_*(df)+a(d_*f)}g = 0, \qquad \forall g \in C^\infty(M).$$

Therefore, the l.h.s. of (47) is zero, i.e.

$$\Delta f = \tfrac{1}{2}(L_{X_0} + L_{\xi_0})f, \qquad \forall f \in C^\infty(M).$$

On the other hand, it follows from Proposition 4.10 that

$$\Delta u = \tfrac{1}{2}(L_{X_0} + L_{\xi_0})u, \quad \forall u \in \Gamma(A).$$

Indeed, the first two terms of the r.h.s. of (48) cancel out since

$$\mathrm{tr}(L_{u\circ\theta} - [L_u, L_\theta]) = 2\,\langle d_*u|d\theta\rangle\,,$$

and the last term of the r.h.s. of (48) is zero as a consequence of (53). This proves (k). The same argument shows that (j) implies (l).

- $\boxed{\text{(k)} \Rightarrow \text{(g)}}$ Finally, it is clear that (k) (resp. (l)) implies (g) (resp. (h)).

Step 3: *We prove that all assertions of the first group are equivalent.*

The equivalence of (a) and (b) is a well known fact [27, 30]. Hence, it follows directly from Proposition 4.4 that (a), (b), (c) and (d) are all equivalent. Finally, since (c) ⇔ (a) ⇒ (i) ⇒ (k), we get that (c) implies (e). The converse implication is trivial. A similar argument shows that (d) is equivalent to (f). □

Proof of Corollary 3.5. Taking $\alpha = 1 \in C^\infty(M)$ in (21), we clearly see that $\partial V = 0$. Moreover, $d_*V = 0$ since V is of top degree. Thus

$$\Delta V = \partial d_* V + d_*\partial V = 0,$$

and by (e) of Theorem 3.4, we get $(L_{X_0} + L_{\xi_0})V = 0$. This proves (m). A similar argument yields (n). Now (o) follows from (m) and the equalities of Lemma 4.1:

$$(\partial X_0)V = L_{X_0}V = -L_{\xi_0}V = (\partial_*\xi_0)V.$$

To prove (p), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle X_0|\xi_0\rangle\Omega \otimes s \otimes V &= \Omega \otimes L_{X_0}(s \otimes V)\\ &= \Omega \otimes L_{X_0}s \otimes V + \Omega \otimes s \otimes L_{X_0}V\\ &= \Omega \otimes L_{X_0}s \otimes V - L_{X_0}\Omega \otimes s \otimes V\\ &= \Omega \otimes L_{X_0}s \otimes V + L_{\xi_0}\Omega \otimes V\\ &= \Omega \otimes (L_{X_0} - L_{\xi_0})s \otimes V + L_{\xi_0}(\Omega \otimes s) \otimes V\\ &= \Omega \otimes (L_{X_0} - L_{\xi_0})s \otimes V + \langle X_0|\xi_0\rangle\Omega \otimes s \otimes V\end{aligned}$$

forces $(L_{X_0} - L_{\xi_0})s$ to be zero. □

Proof of Corollary 3.6. Equation (28) is obvious since (a) is equivalent to (c) in Theorem 3.4. It is not hard to establish the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} L_\xi[u,v]-[L_\xi u,v]-[u,L_\xi v] &= \iota_{(d\xi)^\sharp v}d_*u - L_{(d\xi)^\sharp u}v,\\ L_\xi[u,f]-[L_\xi u,f]-[u,L_\xi f] &= -\langle u|L_{d_*f+df}\xi\rangle - L_{(d\xi)^\sharp u}f, \end{aligned}$$

for all $u,v\in\Gamma(A)$, $\xi\in\Gamma(A^*)$ and $f\in C^\infty(M)$. Since $d\xi_0=0$, (29) follows immediately from the implication (a) $\Rightarrow$ (e) in Theorem 3.4. □

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let Ω, s and V be top forms as earlier. One can assume they exist since they always exist locally and the problem is indeed local. A direct calculation shows that, for any $u\in\Gamma(\wedge A)$,

$$\breve{D}^2u=\big(\tfrac12(L_{X_0}+L_{\xi_0})-\Delta\big)u+\tfrac12\big(\tfrac12\langle\xi_0|X_0\rangle-\partial X_0\big)u. \tag{55}$$

If (A,A^*) is a Lie bialgebroid, (e) of Theorem 3.4 together with (55) above implies that $\breve{D}^2$ is the multiplication by the function $\breve{f}=\frac12(\frac12\langle\xi_0|X_0\rangle-\partial X_0)$.

Conversely, if $\breve{D}^2$ is the multiplication by some function $\breve{f}$, (55) implies that the operator $\Delta-\frac12(L_{X_0}+L_{\xi_0})$ is the multiplication by the function

$$g:=\tfrac12\big(\tfrac12\langle\xi_0|X_0\rangle-\partial X_0\big)-\breve{f}.$$

From (39), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} g&=\big(\Delta-\tfrac12(L_{X_0}+L_{\xi_0})\big)(1)=\big(\Delta-\tfrac12(L_{X_0}+L_{\xi_0})\big)(1\cdot1)\\ &=1\cdot\big(\Delta-\tfrac12(L_{X_0}+L_{\xi_0})\big)(1)+\big(\Delta-\tfrac12(L_{X_0}+L_{\xi_0})\big)(1)\cdot1+[1,d_*1]-[d_*1,1]\\ &=2\big(\Delta-\tfrac12(L_{X_0}+L_{\xi_0})\big)(1)=2g. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $g=0$, $\Delta=\frac12(L_{X_0}+L_{\xi_0})$ and, by Theorem 3.4, the pair (A,A^*) is a Lie bialgebroid.

Finally, note that the function $\breve{f}=\frac12(\frac12\langle\xi_0|X_0\rangle-\partial X_0)$ is independent of the order of the pair (A,A^*), i.e.

$$\tfrac12(\tfrac12\langle\xi_0|X_0\rangle-\partial X_0)=\tfrac12(\tfrac12\langle\xi_0|X_0\rangle-\partial_*\xi_0),$$

where

$$\breve{D}^2=\tfrac12(\tfrac12\langle\xi_0|X_0\rangle-\partial X_0)\qquad\text{and}\qquad\breve{D}_*^2=\tfrac12(\tfrac12\langle\xi_0|X_0\rangle-\partial_*\xi_0).$$

Indeed, Corollary 3.5 asserts that $\partial_*\xi_0=\partial X_0$. □

In [19], Kosmann-Schwarzbach proved that $\breve{D}$ is a deriving operator of the Courant algebroid $A\oplus A^*$. As a consequence, $\breve{D}$ is indeed a Dirac generating operator. This is Corollary 3.2, which is proved below using an argument similar to that in [19].

Proof of Corollary 3.2. By Theorem 3.1, we know that $\breve{D}^2\in C^\infty(M)$. Thus, to prove that

$$\breve{D}=\breve{d}_*+\breve{\partial}=d_*-\partial+\tfrac12(X_0+\xi_0)$$

is a Dirac generating operator, we only need to check that it satisfies conditions (a) and (b) of Definition 2.4.

For all $u \in \Gamma(A)$, $\theta \in \Gamma(A^*)$ and $v \in \Gamma(\wedge A)$, one has:

$$\begin{aligned}
[d_*, f](v) &= d_* f \wedge v, & [\partial, f](v) &= -\iota_{df} v, \\
[d_*, u](v) &= d_* u \wedge v, & [\partial, u](v) &= -L_u v + \partial u \wedge v, \\
[d_*, \theta](v) &= L_\theta v, & [\partial, \theta](v) &= \iota_{d\theta} v.
\end{aligned}$$

On the left hand side, the three equalities are trivialities while, on the right hand side, the first two equalities are immediate consequences of (23) and the third is exactly (38).

A straightforward computation based on the six relations above leads to

$$[d_* - \partial, f](v) = (d_* f + df) \cdot v$$

and

$$[[d_* - \partial, u_1 + \theta_1], u_2 + \theta_2](v) = \big((u_1 + \theta_1) \circ (u_2 + \theta_2)\big) \cdot v,$$

where $\cdot$ denotes the Clifford action of $\Gamma(A \oplus A^*)$ on $\Gamma(\wedge A)$ and $\circ$ is the bracket on $\Gamma(A \oplus A^*)$ defined by (8).

On the other hand, it is obvious that

$$[e, f] = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad [[e, e_1], e_2] = 0,$$

for all $e, e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(A \oplus A^*)$ and thus, in particular, for $e = \frac{1}{2}(X_0 + \xi_0)$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 3.3. While proving Theorem 3.1, we obtained

$$\breve{f} = \tfrac{1}{2}\big(\tfrac{1}{2}\langle \xi_0 | X_0 \rangle - \partial X_0\big).$$

Therefore, (a) follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{X_0}(\Omega \otimes s) \otimes V =& \Omega \otimes L_{X_0} s \otimes V + L_{X_0} \Omega \otimes s \otimes V \\
=& \Omega \otimes L_{X_0} s \otimes V - \Omega \otimes s \otimes L_{X_0} V \\
=& \Omega \otimes L_{X_0}(s \otimes V) - 2\Omega \otimes s \otimes L_{X_0} V \\
=& (\langle \xi_0 | X_0 \rangle - 2\partial X_0) \Omega \otimes s \otimes V.
\end{aligned}$$

The argument for (b) is similar. $\square$

6 Examples

6.1 Exact Lie bialgebroids

Let us briefly recall the notion of an exact Lie bialgebroid [28] (see also [18]). Let A be a Lie algebroid with bracket $[,]$ on $\Gamma(A)$ and anchor map $a : A \to TM$. Given $\Lambda \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 A)$ satisfying $[[\Lambda, \Lambda], X] = 0$ for all $X \in \Gamma(A)$, the bracket

$$[\xi, \theta]_\Lambda = L_{\Lambda^\sharp(\xi)} \theta - L_{\Lambda^\sharp(\theta)} \xi - d(\Lambda(\xi, \theta)) = L_{\Lambda^\sharp(\xi)} \theta - \iota_{\Lambda^\sharp(\theta)} d\xi$$

on $\Gamma(A^*)$ and the anchor map $a_* = a \circ \Lambda^\sharp$, make A^* a Lie algebroid. The pair of Lie algebroid structures on A and A^* fits into a Lie bialgebroid (A, A^*), which is known as an exact Lie bialgebroid. If $[\Lambda,\Lambda]=0$, then (A,Λ) is called a Lie algebroid with a Poisson structure and (A,A^*) is called a triangular Lie bialgebroid.

Let Ω be a nowhere zero section of $\Gamma(\wedge^{\mathrm{top}}A^*)$. We will need the following formula:

$$\partial_*\theta=-\partial\Lambda^\sharp(\theta)+2\langle\Lambda|d\theta\rangle,\quad \forall\theta\in\Gamma(A^*). \tag{56}$$

In fact, a simple computation yields that

$$L_\theta\Omega=[\theta,\Omega]_\Lambda=L_{\Lambda^\sharp(\theta)}\Omega+2\langle\Lambda|d\theta\rangle\Omega.$$

Then (56) follows from Lemma 4.1.

An explicit expression of the modular cocycle X_0 of A^* was first obtained in [24] (see also [11, 18]).

Lemma 6.1 ([24])**.** *Assume that (A,A^*) is an exact Lie bialgebroid as above and ξ_0 is the modular cocycle of A, then the modular cocycle of A^* is*

$$X_0=2\partial\Lambda-\Lambda^\sharp(\xi_0).$$

Proposition 6.2. *If (A,A^*) is an exact Lie bialgebroid, then $\breve{D}^2=0$.*

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 3.1, we know that $\breve{D}^2=\breve{f}=\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}\langle\xi_0|X_0\rangle-\partial X_0)$. Then by Lemma 6.1,

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac{1}{2}\langle\xi_0|X_0\rangle-\partial X_0&=\langle\xi_0|\partial\Lambda\rangle-\tfrac{1}{2}\xi_0\Lambda^\sharp(\xi_0)+\partial\Lambda^\sharp(\xi_0)-2\partial^2\Lambda\\&=\iota_{\xi_0}\partial\Lambda+\partial\iota_{\xi_0}\Lambda=\iota_{d\xi_0}\Lambda,\quad(\text{ by Lemma } 4.3).\end{aligned}$$

Since ξ_0 is a cocycle, the result is zero. □

Remark 6.3. *In the case of triangular Lie bialgebroids, the above result was due to Kosmann-Schwarzbach* [19, Theorem 3.3]. *For the Lie bialgebroid (TM,T^*M) associated to a Poisson manifold, this was due to Koszul* [25]. *In* [19], *Kosmann-Schwarzbach also explained the connection with* [1].

6.2 Poisson Nijenhuis Lie algebroids

We recall some basic facts about Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroids (in short, PN-algebroids). Nijenhuis operators and PN-structures are discussed in detail in [12, 20, 23]. The modular classes of PN-manifolds were studied in [8, 18, 22]. The extension of the modular classes to PN-Lie algebroids was carried out by Caseiro [4].

In what follows, we consider PN-Lie algebroid (A,N,Λ), where $(A,[\ ,\ ],a)$ is a Lie algebroid over M, $N:A\to A$ is a Nijenhuis operator and $\Lambda\in\Gamma(\wedge^2A)$ is a Poisson structure on A.

The Nijenhuis operator N and the Poisson bivector Λ are compatible in the following sense:

$$\Lambda^\sharp N^*=N\Lambda^\sharp\qquad\text{and}\qquad[\xi,\theta]_{N\Lambda}=\big([\xi,\theta]_\Lambda\big)_{N^*},\quad\forall\xi,\theta\in\Gamma(A^*).$$

Here $[\ ,\ ]_{N\Lambda}$ is the Lie bracket defined by the bivector field $N\Lambda\in\Gamma(\wedge^2A)$ associated to the bundle map $N\Lambda^\sharp$, and $([\ ,\ ]_\Lambda)_{N^*}$ is the Lie bracket obtained from the Lie bracket $[\ ,\ ]_\Lambda$ by deformation along the Nijenhuis tensor N^*.

The compatibility of Λ and N implies that the bivectors Λ_k associated to the bundle maps $\Lambda_k^\sharp = N^k \circ \Lambda^\sharp$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) are Poisson bivectors and the triples (A, Λ_k, N^l) (with $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$) are PN-Lie algebroids. Let us denote the deformation of the Lie algebroid A along N^l by A_l, and the Lie algebroid induced by the Poisson bivector Λ_k by A_k^*. It was proved that all pairs (A_l, A_k^*) are Lie bialgebroids and we have the following fact.

Lemma 6.4 ([4, 8, 18])**.** *Let ξ_0 be the modular cocycle of A. Then the modular cocycle of A_l is given by*

$$\xi_l = d(\mathrm{tr}\, N^l) + (N^*)^l \xi_0, \tag{57}$$

and one has the identity:

$$N\partial\Lambda_{l-1} - \partial\Lambda_l = \tfrac{1}{2l}\Lambda^\sharp(d(\mathrm{tr}\, N^l)).$$

According to Lemma 6.1, we also know that the modular cocycle of A_k^* is given by

$$X_k = 2\partial\Lambda_k - \Lambda_k^\sharp(\xi_0). \tag{58}$$

Proposition 6.5. *For the Lie bialgebroid (A_l, A_k^*), $\breve{D}^2 = 0$.*

Proof. Note that $N^l : A_l \to A_0$ is a morphism of Lie algebroids and hence $(N^*)^l(\xi_0)$ is a 1-cocycle in A_l^*:

$$d(N^*)^l(\xi_0) = (N^*)^l(d\xi_0) = 0.$$

Here A_0 is A. Thus by Lemma 4.3, one has

$$\iota_{(N^*)^l(\xi_0)}\partial = -\partial\iota_{(N^*)^l(\xi_0)}. \tag{59}$$

For the same reason,

$$\iota_{d\,\mathrm{tr}\, N^l}\partial = -\partial\iota_{d\,\mathrm{tr}\, N^l}. \tag{60}$$

From (56), we know that the boundary operator $\partial_* : \Gamma(A_k^*) \to C^\infty(M)$ is given by:

$$\partial_*\theta = -\partial\Lambda_k^\sharp(\theta) + 2\langle\Lambda_k|d\theta\rangle, \quad \forall\theta \in \Gamma(A_k^*).$$

Hence by (58) and (57), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\tfrac{1}{2}\langle\xi_l|X_k\rangle - \partial_*\xi_l =& \tfrac{1}{2}\langle d(\mathrm{tr}\, N^l) + (N^*)^l\xi_0 | 2\partial\Lambda_k - \Lambda_k^\sharp(\xi_0)\rangle \\
&+ \partial\Lambda_k^\sharp\big(d(\mathrm{tr}\, N^l) + (N^*)^l\xi_0\big) + 2\langle\Lambda_k|d(N^*)^l\xi_0\rangle \\
=& \big(\iota_{d\,\mathrm{tr}\, N^l}\partial + \partial\iota_{d\,\mathrm{tr}\, N^l} + \iota_{(N^*)^l\xi_0}\partial + \partial\iota_{(N^*)^l\xi_0}\big)\Lambda_k \\
&+ \tfrac{1}{2}\langle\Lambda_k^\sharp(d\,\mathrm{tr}\, N^l)|\xi_0\rangle - \tfrac{1}{2}\langle\xi_0|\Lambda_{k+l}^\sharp\xi_0\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

By (59) and (60), and using the fact that $\Lambda_{k+l}^\sharp$ is skewsymmetric, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tfrac{1}{2}\langle\xi_l|X_k\rangle - \partial_*\xi_l \\
=& \tfrac{1}{2}\langle\Lambda_k^\sharp(d\,\mathrm{tr}\, N^l)|\xi_0\rangle \\
=& -\tfrac{1}{2}\langle\Lambda^\sharp(d\,\mathrm{tr}\, N^l)|(N^*)^k\xi_0\rangle \\
=& l(N\partial\Lambda_{l-1} - \partial\Lambda_l)(N^*)^k\xi_0 \quad \text{(by (6.4))} \\
=& l(\iota_{(N^*)^{k+1}\xi_0}\partial\Lambda_{l-1} - \iota_{(N^*)^k\xi_0}\partial\Lambda_l) \\
=& -l(\partial\iota_{(N^*)^{k+1}\xi_0}\Lambda_{l-1} - \partial\iota_{(N^*)^k\xi_0}\Lambda_l) \quad \text{(by (59))} \\
=& -l(\partial\iota_{(N^*)^{k+l}\xi_0}\Lambda_l - \partial\iota_{(N^*)^{k+l}\xi_0}\Lambda_l) \quad \text{(by (6.2))} \\
=& 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

6.3 $a + b$ Lie bialgebras

We finally show an example with $\breve{D}^2 \neq 0$. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a 2-dimensional real vector space with base $\{x_1, x_2\}$ and let $\{y_1, y_2\}$ be the dual base of $\mathfrak{g}^*$. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the $a + b$ Lie algebra and let $\mathfrak{g}^*$ be the $c + d$ Lie algebra, i.e.,

$$[x_1, x_2] = ax_1 + bx_2; \qquad [\eta^1, \eta^2]_* = c\eta^1 + d\eta^2.$$

Here a, b, c and d are arbitrary real numbers. It is easily checked that both $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^*$ are Lie algebras and the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$ is a Lie bialgebra.

Since the modular cocycle $\xi_0 \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is given by $\xi_0(x) = \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{ad}_x)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, one gets $\xi_0 = b\eta^1 + a\eta^2$. Similarly, the modular cocycle X_0 of $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is given by $X_0 = dx_1 + cx_2$. Now by Proposition 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4\breve{f} = \langle 4\breve{f}(x_1 \wedge x_2) | \eta^1 \wedge \eta^2 \rangle = \langle L_{\xi_0}(x_1 \wedge x_2) | \eta^1 \wedge \eta^2 \rangle \\ = -\langle x_1 \wedge x_2 | L_{\xi_0}(\eta^1 \wedge \eta^2) \rangle = -(bd + ac). \end{aligned}$$

References

[1] Anton Alekseev and Ping Xu, *Derived brackets and Courant algebroids* (2001). unpublished manuscript.

[2] Jean-Luc Brylinski, *A differential complex for Poisson manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **28** (1988), no. 1, 93–114. MR950556 (89m:58006)

[3] J. L. Brylinski and G. Zuckerman, *The outer derivation of a complex Poisson manifold*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **506** (1999), 181–189. MR1665693 (99k:58064)

[4] Raquel Caseiro, *Modular classes of Poisson-Nijenhuis Lie algebroids*, Lett. Math. Phys. **80** (2007), no. 3, 223–238. MR2333510 (2008d:53103)

[5] Claude Chevalley, *The algebraic theory of spinors and Clifford algebras*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997. Collected works. Vol. 2; Edited and with a foreword by Pierre Cartier and Catherine Chevalley; With a postface by J.-P. Bourguignon. MR1636473 (99f:01028)

[6] A. Coste, P. Dazord, and A. Weinstein, *Groupoïdes symplectiques*, Publications du Département de Mathématiques. Nouvelle Série. A, Vol. 2, Publ. Dép. Math. Nouvelle Sér. A, vol. 87, Univ. Claude-Bernard, Lyon, 1987, pp. i–ii, 1–62 (French). MR996653 (90g:58033)

[7] Theodore James Courant, *Dirac manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **319** (1990), no. 2, 631–661. MR998124 (90m:58065)

[8] Pantelis A. Damianou and Rui Loja Fernandes, *Integrable hierarchies and the modular class*, `arXiv:math/0607784`.

[9] Sam Evens and Jiang-Hua Lu, *Poisson harmonic forms, Kostant harmonic forms, and the S^1-equivariant cohomology of K/T*, Adv. Math. **142** (1999), no. 2, 171–220. MR1680047 (2001e:53085)

[10] Sam Evens, Jiang-Hua Lu, and Alan Weinstein, *Transverse measures, the modular class and a cohomology pairing for Lie algebroids*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **50** (1999), no. 200, 417–436. MR1726784 (2000i:53114)

[11] Janusz Grabowski, Giuseppe Marmo, and Peter W. Michor, *Homology and modular classes of Lie algebroids*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **56** (2006), no. 1, 69–83 (English, with English and French summaries). MR2228680 (2007c:17020)

[12] Janusz Grabowski and Paweł Urbański, *Lie algebroids and Poisson-Nijenhuis structures*, Rep. Math. Phys. **40** (1997), no. 2, 195–208. Quantizations, deformations and coherent states (Białowieża, 1996). MR1614690 (99c:58180)

[13] Marco Gualtieri, *Generalized complex geometry*, `arXiv:math/0703298`.

[14] Nigel Hitchin, *Lectures on special Lagrangian submanifolds*, Submanifolds (Cambridge, MA, 1999), AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001, pp. 151–182. MR1876068 (2003f:53086)

[15] Yvette Kosmann-Schwarzbach, *Derived brackets*, Lett. Math. Phys. **69** (2004), 61–87. MR2104437 (2006e:53148)

[16] ———, *Exact Gerstenhaber algebras and Lie bialgebroids*, Acta Appl. Math. **41** (1995), no. 1-3, 153–165. Geometric and algebraic structures in differential equations. MR1362125 (97i:17021)

[17] ———, *Modular vector fields and Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras*, Poisson geometry (Warsaw, 1998), Banach Center Publ., vol. 51, Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 2000, pp. 109–129. MR1764439 (2001d:17026)

[18] ———, *Poisson Manifolds, Lie Algebroids, Modular Classes: a Survey*, `arXiv:0710.3098`.

[19] ———, *Quasi, twisted, and all that ... in Poisson geometry and Lie algebroid theory*, The breadth of symplectic and Poisson geometry, Progr. Math., vol. 232, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2005, pp. 363–389. MR2103012 (2005g:53157)

[20] ———, *The Lie bialgebroid of a Poisson-Nijenhuis manifold*, Lett. Math. Phys. **38** (1996), no. 4, 421–428. MR1421686 (97m:58216)

[21] Yvette Kosmann-Schwarzbach and Camille Laurent-Gengoux, *The modular class of a twisted Poisson structure*, Travaux mathématiques. Fasc. XVI, Trav. Math., XVI, Univ. Luxemb., Luxembourg, 2005, pp. 315–339. MR2223167 (2007h:53129)

[22] Yvette Kosmann-Schwarzbach and Franco Magri, *On the modular classes of Poisson-Nijenhuis manifolds*, `arXiv:math/0611202`.

[23] ———, *Poisson-Nijenhuis structures*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor. **53** (1990), no. 1, 35–81 (English, with French summary). MR1077465 (92b:17026)

[24] Yvette Kosmann-Schwarzbach and Alan Weinstein, *Relative modular classes of Lie algebroids*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **341** (2005), no. 8, 509–514 (English, with English and French summaries). MR2180819 (2006f:53121)

[25] Jean-Louis Koszul, *Crochet de Schouten-Nijenhuis et cohomologie*, Astérisque **Numéro Hors Série** (1985), 257–271 (French). The mathematical heritage of Élie Cartan (Lyon, 1984). MR837203 (88m:17013)

[26] André Lichnerowicz, *Les variétés de Poisson et leurs algèbres de Lie associées*, J. Differential Geometry **12** (1977), no. 2, 253–300 (French). MR0501133 (58 #18565)

[27] Zhang-Ju Liu, Alan Weinstein, and Ping Xu, *Manin triples for Lie bialgebroids*, J. Differential Geom. **45** (1997), no. 3, 547–574. MR1472888 (98f:58203)

[28] Z.-J. Liu and P. Xu, *Exact Lie bialgebroids and Poisson groupoids*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **6** (1996), no. 1, 138–145. MR1371234 (97e:58234)

[29] Kirill C. H. Mackenzie and Ping Xu, *Integration of Lie bialgebroids*, Topology **39** (2000), no. 3, 445–467. MR1746902 (2001b:53104)

[30] ———, *Lie bialgebroids and Poisson groupoids*, Duke Math. J. **73** (1994), no. 2, 415–452. MR1262213 (95b:58171)

[31] Sébastien Michéa and Gleb Novitchkov, *BV-generators and Lie algebroids*, Internat. J. Math. **16** (2005), no. 10, 1175–1191. MR2182214 (2007c:17029)

[32] Dmitry Roytenberg, *Courant algebroids, derived brackets and even symplectic supermanifolds*, Ph.D. Thesis, U.C. Berkeley, `arXiv:math/9910078`.

[33] ———, *On the structure of graded symplectic supermanifolds and Courant algebroids*, Quantization, Poisson brackets and beyond (Manchester, 2001), Contemp. Math., vol. 315, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002, pp. 169–185. MR1958835 (2004i:53116)

[34] Dmitry Roytenberg and Alan Weinstein, *Courant algebroids and strongly homotopy Lie algebras*, Lett. Math. Phys. **46** (1998), no. 1, 81–93. MR1656228 (2000c:17036)

[35] Alan Weinstein, *The modular automorphism group of a Poisson manifold*, J. Geom. Phys. **23** (1997), no. 3-4, 379–394. MR1484598 (98k:58095)

[36] Ping Xu, *Gerstenhaber algebras and BV-algebras in Poisson geometry*, Comm. Math. Phys. **200** (1999), no. 3, 545–560. MR1675117 (2000b:17025)